



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,143	05/11/2001	Ye Wang	944-001.047	6804
4955 7	590 02/26/2003			
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2654	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/854,143	WANG ET AL				
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit				
Angela A. Armstrong	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D Disposition of Claims	1. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/854,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-17 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Chen et al, "Video Compression Using Integer DCT", Image Processing, 2000, Proceedings 2000 International Conference, vol. 2, pages 844-845. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Drawings

2. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Oath/Declaration

3. The full name of each inventor (family name and at least one given name together with any initial) has not been set forth.

The oath or declaration is not signed by Mauri Vaananen and Leonid Yaroslavsky.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/854,143

Art Unit: 2654

4. Claims 1-8, 10, and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyamori et al (US Patent No. 5,737,720) in view of Chen et al, "Video Compression Using Integer DCT", Image Processing, 2000, Proceedings 2000 International Conference, vol. 2, pages 844-845.

Regarding claims 1-8, 10, and 12-17, Miyamori teaches low bit rate multichannel audio coding methods using non-linear adaptive bit allocation. Specifically, Miyamori teaches coding audio signals in a sound system having plurality of sound channels for providing M sets of audio signals form input signals, wherein a plurality of intra-channel signal redundancy removal devices are used to reduce the audio signal for providing first signals indicative of the reduced audio signals at col. 7, line 8 continuing to col. 9, line 60.

Additionally, Miyamori teaches:

converting the first audio signals to second signals at col. 9, lines 28-37,

implementation of a modified discrete cosine transform at col. 8, line 54 continuing to col. 9, line 20,

groups of multiple channels at col. 16, lines 8-12,

accounting for the characteristic of the hearing sense of humans at col. 9, line 28-30; col.

15, lines 3-11,

transmission or storage of the coded audio at col. 8, lines 3-5.

Miyamori does not specifically teach implementation of reducing the inter-channel signal redundancy in second signals of integers. However, data reduction of integers was well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,143

Art Unit: 2654

Page 4

In a similar field of endeavor, Chen teaches data compression or coding using an integer discrete cosine transform (pages 844-845). Chen teaches that the integer DCT can be implemented in a MPEG coder, is reversible, and is very suitable for source coding, and communication in a mobile environment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the coding system of Miyamori to implement an integer DCT, as taught by Chen, for the purpose of improving the performance of the coder and to provide for implementation in a mobile environment.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cheng et al, "Integer discrete cosine transform and its fast algorithm," Electronic Letters, vol. 37, January 4, 2001, pages 64-65.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,143 Page 5

Art Unit: 2654

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Angela A. Armstrong Examiner Art Unit 2654

AAA February 23, 2003

Marsha D. Banks-Harold SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600